

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| 09/776,991 | 02/05/2001 | Yoichiro Igarashi | FUJO 17.290 | FUJO 17.290 4908 | |
| 26304 | 7590 12/05/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| KATTEN MUCHIN ROSENMAN LLP | | | EL CHANTI, HUSSEIN A | | |
| 575 MADISON AVENUE NEW YORK, NY 10022-2585 | | • | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2157 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/05/2000 | DATE MAILED: 12/05/2006 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|----------------------|----------------|--|--|
| 09/776,991 | IGARASHI ET AL | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Hussein A. El-chanti | 2157 | | |

| | Hussein A. El-chanti | 2157 | |
|---|---|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | lress |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE TH | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folk places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliar time periods: | n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, so otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply | of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider n compliance with 37 C | nce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the maili b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for later than SIX MONTHS from the main (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T706.07(f). | ing date of the final reject HE FIRST REPLY WAS F | ion. FILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lating reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(INOTICE OF APPEAL | xtension and the corresponding amou shortened statutory period for reply of er than three months after the mailing | nt of the fee. The appropr riginally set in the final Off | riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS | ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), | to avoid dismissal of the | hs of the date of ne appeal. Since |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection (a) They raise new issues that would require further c (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | onsideration and/or search (see Now); etter form for appeal by materially a corresponding number of finally i | OTE below); reducing or simplifying | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed to the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) rejected: 21,22,52 and 53. | 121. See attached Notice of Non-(s): allowable if submitted in a separat Will not be entered, or b) | e, timely filed amendme | ent canceling the |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affid | avit or other evidence i | s necessary and |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filin entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under app ary and was not earlier presented. | peal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(| ils to provide a 1). |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanate REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | on of the status of the claims after | entry is below or attac | neu. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered to See Continuation Sheet. | | | nce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)13. ☐ Other: | . (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | - | |
| | | · | |

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly amended claims include limitations such as "establishing a communication channed between the correspondent terminal and the mobile terminal on behalf of correspondent terminal" which would require further search and consideration by examiner.

SUPERVISORY PATENT

LAWWINEH

TOHNOLOGY C